

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/600,593	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WINOGRAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sam Rizk	<b>Art Unit</b> 2133	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sam Rizk.

(2) Attorney Lamont Whitman.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2006

**Time:** 10:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To clearly define the invention as claims the Examiner requested amendment as follows:

- Change claim 1, line 3 "words" to "complete data words"
- Change claim 4, line 6 'words' to 'complete data words'
- Change claim 6, line 6 "words" to "complete data words"
- Change claim 11, line 7 "words" to "complete data words"